

Categorized Responses

Category	Frequency	Sub-area	Action Requested
Appendix Database	V-High		
		Historical perspective	Need earlier data; include canning and anecdotal
		Smolt record	Earlier record; regional record
Habitat	V-High		
		Chapter	Dedicated habitat chapter
		Current initiatives	Identify existing and proposed work
		VSP treatment	Closer tie of habitat to viability
Management	High		
		History	Hatchery programs; harvest regulations
		Decisions analysis	Premis for, and results of, summary and analysis
		Alternatives	Recommend different approaches
Run Timing	Med		
		Early run loss of wild	Segregated harvest program impacts
		March 15th survey start	Stream survey entire earlier wild run
Distribution Maps	Low		
		Map plotting errors	Fix Mid-Columbia (Yakima River)
		Calculation errors	Habitat more informative than total mileage
Integrated Programs	V-High		
		Strong integration bias	Need to move slowly, unproven technology
		Risk analysis	Further research is necessary
		Monitoring need	Comprehensive, pre-requisite to initiation
		Sunset provision	5-years (1 Gener.); or if success isn't established
Wild Mortalities	M-Low		
		Release mortalities	Tighten assumptions; more specific
		Missing other sources	Net drop-out, poaching, etc.
Economic Assessment	M-High		
		Absolute scale	Justify numbers further
		Errors and omissions	Include C&R, WSR
Extinction Risk	M-Low		
		Method	Discuss alternatives and choice
		Assumptions	Use average escapements instead of last one
Comprehension/Readability	M-Low		
		Chapter 3 difficult level	Too technical; needs additional material
		Chapter 4 misunderstood	Intent not defined; failed to meet expectations
Wild Management Zones	M-High		
		More treatment	Define and list recommended streams
		Better definition of	Include all salmonids; sanctuaries from harvest